

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,265	07/31/2003	Avi Penner	2024750-7015284001	5488
7590 03/27/2006		EXAMINER		
Bingham McCutchen LLP			LAYNO, CARL HERNANDZ	
Suite 1800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Three Embarcadero Center			AKI UNII	TALER NOWBER
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067			3766	

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/632,265	PENNER, AVI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Carl H. Layno	3766			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period way reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timularly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ju	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 July 2003</u> .				
,	, _				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6,8,13,15-19,21-23,25,26,28,31,3 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5,7,9-12,14,20,24,27,29,30,32-35,37, 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. 6 and 39 is/are rejected. 38 and 40 is/are objected to.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/8/04, 10/14/03 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate ratent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/632,265

Art Unit: 3766

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's Information Disclosure Statement (PTO-1449), which was received by the Office on October 8, 2004 and on October 14, 2003.

Drawings

2. The formal drawings were received on April 26, 2004. These drawings are approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6, 8, 13, 15-19, 21-23, 25, 26, 28, 31, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schroeppel et al (US 5,749,909) (cited by the Applicant).

In regard to claims 1, 2, 13, 15, and 16, the Schroeppel et al (US 5,749,909) patent, cited by the applicant as prior art, describes an implantable cardiac pacing system (Fig.1) including a piezoelectric device 20 that generates electrical power/current to charge the medical device's battery 29. Battery 29 uses this energy to provide the electric energy needed to power the pacing circuitry 27 to generate its stimulation outputs. In an embodiment of Fig.6, piezoelectric device

Application/Control Number: 10/632,265

Art Unit: 3766

200 may comprise an acoustic transducer 220,240 for converting sound waves 150 to electrical energy.

In regard to claim 3, the implantable pacing device of Schroeppel may be equipped with an array of four transducers 40,50,60,70 (Fig.5).

In regard to claims 4, 18, and 26, battery 29 stores the current generated by the acoustic transducer(s).

In regard to claims 5, 6, 8, 9, 19, 21, 22, and 28, pacing circuit 27 performs the function of applicant's claimed "control circuitry" and "switch" since it inherently controls the stimulation energy output (i.e. pulse frequency and on/off times) of the stimulation electrode.

In regard to claim 15-17, applicant's attention is directed to Fig.4, which shows acoustic piezoelectric transducers 40, 50, 60, and 70 on the casing of the pacemaker 30 (col.7, lines 64-66). As stated above, the Schroeppel et al implantable stimulator also comprises pacing control circuitry 27 and stimulating electrodes 34,36 (Fig.1).

In regard to claims 25, 31, and 36, Fig.1 shows an externally located control device 10 capable of transmitting acoustic waves through the patient's skin 99 to an implantable pacemaker 30, which transforms these waves into energy for outputting stimulation energy to the patient.

5. Claims 1-4, 13, 25, 26, 31, 36, and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mann et al (US 4,082,097).

In regard to claims 1, 2, and 13, the Mann et al (US 4,082,097) patent describes a heart stimulation system (Fig.1) including an implantable pacer (circuitry to the right of skin 10) (col.3, lines 62-63) including stimulating electrodes 15, and induction coils 16 for transferring

energy through the skin. Although induction coils 16 are shown, the device may alternately utilize a piezoelectric crystal to transfer energy by sound waves or vibration (col.4, lines 29-33) from an external charge and control unit 20. Energy converted by the piezoelectric crystal would be rectified and used to charge battery 12, whose output is used to power pace pulse generator 14, which delivers output energy to stimulation electrodes 15.

In regard to claim 3, the Mann et al implantable pacer reads upon applicant's claimed structure if coils 18, 28, and 38 were, alternatively, considered to be piezoelectric crystals, as stated in Mann et al col.4, lines 29-33.

In regard to claims 4 and 26, battery **12** performs the function of applicant's claimed "energy storage device" for storing the electrical current as electrical energy.

In regard to claim 25, external charge and control unit **20** (shown in more detail in Figs.4 and 5) performs the function of applicant's claimed "control device".

In regard to claim 39, the acoustic waves transmitted by the implantable stimulator to the external "control device" 20 comprises information regarding the state of charge of battery 12 (Abstract, lines 8-11).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 5, 7, 9-12, 14, 20, 24, 27, 29, 30, 32-35, 37, 38, and 40 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3766

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl H. Layno whose telephone number is (571) 272-4949. The examiner can normally be reached on 9/4/5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert E. Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CARL LAYNO
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Carl N. Layro

CHL 3/16/2006